

Often times there can 4,5,6 different ways to write an SQL query and get the same results back. What makes one better than any of the others, and is there any ones that are always better, or always worse? Sometimes rewriting 1 predicate in an SQL statement can cause optimization to change. This presentation breaks down many examples of query rewrites and how they can affect performance and optimization. Being strong in SQL is a great skill when it comes to performance and tuning of queries."

# Objectives

Presentation Objectives:

- Objective 1: To help the audience become stronger in SQL and understand the power of SQL rewrites.
- Objective 2: To help the audience become more educated in the area of performance and tuning from the application side.
- Objective 3: To help the audience understand how certain SQL statements operate within DB2.
- Objective 4: To help the audience understand the different areas of performance tuning when it comes to a program or query, Objective 5: To break down the many different predicate types and how they affect a query's optimization
- Get empowered!



This presentation is to show in detail one of the many ways to help get a query to run faster. As will be shown there are many factors that can have a impact on query performance, but the one that is very powerful and totally in the hands of the developers is the query rewrite.

### **Tuning Approaches**

- Change the SQL. Rewrite the query or predicates a different way
- Redesign the program flow
- Gather / Alter Statistics
- Change Physical Design
- System Tuning





Improving SQL performance can be done in one of at least 5 ways. The first 2 ways are totally controlled by the developer. Changing the way a query is written to and keeping the same logic can often times send the optimizer down a different physical path in gathering the rows for the final result set. At times there may be 3, 4, 5, or 6 different ways to write a query and return the same result sets. The SQL must be written in a way that may be processed efficiently by the database. Redesigning program flow, and minimizing the number of time a program sends SQL statements to DB2 can have an impact.

An appropriate level of statistics about the data must be gathered to tell the optimizer about the nature of the data being accessed.

The way the physical objects are defined must be aligned with the types of queries that are to be performed. The 2 major areas here are indexing and the clustering order of data in a table . Lastly, system tuning may be done to adjust the parameters under which the DB2 subsystem operates to effectively match the workload. Altering system parameters, tuning temporary space, and adjusting buffer pool sizes and thresholds are all examples of this type of tuning. An appropriately tuned system can affect an improvement in performance.

This presentation focuses on the first bullet, changing the SQL.

# **Review - DEPT Table**

#### Partial data

DEPTNO	DEPTNAME	MGRNO
A00	SPIFFY COMPUTER SERVICE DIV.	000010
B01	PLANNING	000020
C01	INFORMATION CENTER	000030
D01	DEVELOPMENT CENTER	<null></null>
D11	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS	000060
D21	ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS	000070
E01	SUPPORT SERVICES	000050
E11	OPERATIONS	000090
E21	SOFTWARE SUPPORT	000100
F22	BRANCH OFFICE F2	<null></null>
G22	BRANCH OFFICE G2	<null></null>
H22	BRANCH OFFICE H2	<null></null>
122	BRANCH OFFICE 12	<null></null>
J22	BRANCH OFFICE J2	<null></null>
		There is a construction of the second

A review of some of the data in the DB2 sample table DEPT.

Review - EMP Table						
EMPNO	DEPTNO	LASTNAME	Partial data			
000010	A00	HAAS				
000020	B01	THOMPSON				
000030	C01	KWAN				
000050	E01	GEYER				
000060	D11	STERN				
000070	D21	PULASKI				
000090	E11	HENDERSON				
000100	E21	SPENSER				
000110	A00	LUCCHESI				
000120	A00	O'CONNELL				
000130	C01	QUINTANA				
000140	C01	NICHOLLS				
000150	D11	ADAMSON				
000160	D11	PIANKA				
000170	D11	YOSHIMURA				
			A Themis			

A review of some of the data in the DB2 sample table EMP.

Leaders in IT Education

# Review – EMPPROJACT Data

#### Partial data

PROJNO	ACTNO
IF1000	90
IF1000	100
IF1000	90
IF2000	100
IF2000	100
IF2000	110
IF2000	110
MA2112	60
MA2112	180
	PROJNO IF1000 IF1000 IF2000 IF2000 IF2000 IF2000 MA2112 MA2112



A review of some of the data in the DB2 sample table EMPPROJACT. An employee will have a rows or rows in this table if they are currently working on a project (or projects). They could also have multiple rows if they are working multiple activities within a specific project. There is a 0-many relationship between the EMP table and this EMPPROJACT table.

	Example 1					
Provide a report of Show Employee	employees who work on Project 'IF2000'. Number, Last Name, and Salary.					
Note: Some emplo Some emplo Some emplo Some emplo within sam	Note: Some employees may not be working on projects Some employees may be working on 1 project Some employee may be working on multiple projects Some employees may be working on multiple activities within same project					
Tables Needed:• EMPEmployee table• EMPPROJACTEmployee/Project/Activity						
	Themis Leaders in IT Education					

This request for a report requires 2 tables. There is a 0-many relationship between the tables.

#### Example 1 – Solution 1 If we try a join, we get the following duplicate results: Join Logic SELECT E.EMPNO, E.LASTNAME, E.SALARY **FROM EMP** Ε, **EMPPROJACT** EP WHERE E.EMPNO = EP.EMPNO AND EP.PROJNO = 'IF2000' LASTNAME **EMPNO** SALARY Duplicates because this employee 000030 KWAN 38250.00 works on multiple activities 000140 NICHOLS 28420.00 associated with project 'IF2000' 28420.00 000140 NICHOLS NICHOLS 28420.00 000140 NICHOLS 000140 28420.00

The first thought to fulfill this request would be to code and execute an SQL Join between the 2 tables, zeroing in on the employees that are a part of Project = 'IF2000'.

As can be seen, when a join is coded (and knowing the relationship between the tables) there is a potential for duplicates that show up due to the multiple activities some employees can be assigned for that project. This is where developers need to be aware and know the relationship between tables. I have seen many incident reports come about in production where a query was running for awhile and working only because it was lucky that there existed no duplicate in the data. But when more data was added, duplicates came about and the program/query then issued duplicate rows.

KNOW YOUR DATA and RELATIONSHIPS.

What can be done to eliminate the duplicates?

	Exa	mple 1 ·	- Solution 1		
Need	l a Distinc	t or Group	By to handle duplicates:		
		Join Logic			
SELEC FROM WHER AN	SELECT DISTINCT E.EMPNO, E.LASTNAME, E.SALARY FROM EMP E, EMPPROJACT EP WHERE E.EMPNO = EP.EMPNO AND EP.PROJNO = 'IF2000'				
			Add a DISTINCT?		
		SALARY			
000140	NICHOLS	28420.00			
			Eaders in IT Education		

The easiest and first thought most developers think of is to add the Distinct word as part of the select, which will eliminate the duplicates.

In order for the RDBMS to eliminate duplicates via the Distinct, it may load the data into a Sort Workfile and execute a sort in order to get the data ordered. It then pulls out the unique values. Distinct does not always cause a sort to take place as DB2 often does sort avoidance. The only way to know for sure would be executing a DB2 Explain.

If there is a sort specific to the distinct, there is some overhead involved in this sort. A workfile must be allocated, then loaded, then sorted, and from there unique values are pulled for the final result set. Sorts are pretty fast in DB2, and my first questions when I see a sort occurring are: Can it be eliminated? How big is the sort? Sorts are expensive as their size.

#### Group By will also eliminate duplicates and works the same as a distinct.



There are other ways to eliminate the duplicates needed for this example, both ways requiring a Subquery. These options are available because the there is no data needed to be retrieved from the EMPPROJACT table. This give us the option to take it out of the join, and move it into a subquery.

The first way is to code a Non Correlated Subquery, as shown on this page.

This query is checking to see if each EMPNO value is in the list of EMPNOs generated by the subquery. An EMPNO could be in the list multiple times, but the results will not show the EMPNO multiple times. Remember that the list of values coming out of a non-correlated subquery will have its results sorted in order to eliminate duplicates in the list, and to get the list in ascending order.

Db2 will sometimes take the values from the non correlated subquery, and instead of keeping the list in a an In-List, will put the values into a temporary table and then use it in a join to the outer table.



The other way to eliminate duplicates is by coding the SQL using a Correlated Subquery with the Exists clause.

The logic here is as each EMPNO value is passed to the subquery for execution, the question "Does that join condition exist for the particular EMPNO value?" is processed. Even if it exists multiple times in the subquery, the value will still only get written out once.

Writing it this way eliminates any sorts that could be taking place in a jpin, but the subquery will get executed multiple times.

Which way is best?

- 1). Using the Distinct
- 2). Writing a subquery using the 'In'
- 3). Writing a subquery using the 'Exists'

Of course the answer is 'It depends'.

Example 1 – Solution 4					
Intersect Log	jic				
Intersect Logic WITH X AS (SELECT E.EMPNO FROM EMP E INTERSECT ALL SELECT EPA.EMPNO FROM EMPPROJACT EPA WHERE EPA.PROJNO = 'IF2000' ) SELECT E.EMPNO, E.LASTNAME, E.SALARY FROM EMP E, X WHERE E.EMPNO = X.EMPNO ORDER BY 1					
EMPNO LASTNAME SALARY					
000030 KWAN 38250.00 000140 NICHOLS 28420.00	Eaders in IT Education				

The SQL NTERSECT can also be used to find the EMPNOs that are in the EMP table and also in the EMPPROJACT table under project 'IF2000'. A join is then need back to the EMP table in order to get the LASTNAME and SALARY.

#### Example 1 – Solution 4 Count(\*) logic

	Co	ount(*) Logi	C					
SELECT E.EMPNO, E.LASTNAME, E.SALARY FROM EMP E WHERE 0 < (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM EMPPROJACT EPA WHERE EPA.EMPNO = E.EMPNO AND EPA.PROJNO = 'IF2000')								
EMPNO	LASTNAME	SALARY						
000030	KWAN	38250.00	]					
000140	00140 NICHOLS 28420.00							
Ihemis								

This is pretty common logic for developers and analyst because it makes a little more straightforward sense. But this query is the most expensive in execution time and CPU and would not be a recommendation.

This is due to the fact that it must first count up all the rows that meet the criteria for each specific employee number, instead of stopping at the first occurrence (in the case of EXIST logic) or the one time building of an IN list. There is no need to always count the number of rows for each employee number working on 'IF20000' and comparing to 0. The counting of rows at times can have considerable overhead involved.

This logic seems to be found in much older code at companies and should be rewritten whenever found.

# **Example Differences**

- Distinct. By coding the distinct, DB2 may sort the final result set to eliminate duplicates.
- Non Correlated Subquery. Subquery executes once and puts results either into a list or table to feed the outer query.
- Correlated Subquery. Subquery gets executed multiple times, executing for each unique EMPNO from the outer query.
- Count(\*). Counts every row where each EMPNO value exists in the EMPPROJACT table and compares the count to 0. Very inefficient!
- INTERSECT ALL. First gets each EMPNO from top query that intersects (exists) in the EMPPROJACT table, Then join to EMP table for LASTNAME and SALARY.



Which one is best? It depends:

How big is the Distinct sort? The larger the size of sorts the more expensive the query and runtime. This is typically the worst. What does the join process look like? Are there indexes involved in the join? Which join method? Any join sorts?

Non Correlated Subquery. This is typically better because the sort is smaller than the Distinct sort. The sort is done on only one column for however many values come out of the subquery.

Correlated Subquery. This subquery will execute multiple times so it is imperative that the subquery uses a index when it gets processed for each value sent to it via the join. If the subquery can execute as 'Index Only' and there is matching index occurring, executing many times can be very fast and efficient.

So the answer is 'It Depends'. The nice thing about these is that we have options for duplicate data at times and they all execute very different within DB2. Depending on the data and physical design, one will usually run better than the others.

Example 2							
Provide a list o	Provide a list of employees that major						
In both 'M	IA I' an	d'CSI					
Note: Each employee will h each major	Note: Each employee will have a row in the table for each major						
	E1	MAT					
EMPMAJOR	E1	CSI					
	E2	MAT					
	E3	CSI					
	E4	ENG					
			Readers in IT Education				

This screen starts the second example. This is a typical table design where an ID / ACCT\_NUM may have multiple rows each unique based on an ACCT type, status, code, ....

This table is not one of the DB2 sample tables but a good example of a common design. In this example, how do we find the employee numbers that have both a row with value of 'MAT' in the MAJOR column, and also a row with a value of 'CSI' in the MAJOR column?



The first solution would be to code a query with a Group BY and Having clause to see which EMPNO(s) have both (a count of 2) rows that have a 'MAT' and 'CSI'.

PROBI	Example 2 – Solution 2 PROBLEM: Find all employees who major in math (MAT) and computer science (CSI).				
EMP	Quota Query Logic				
EMPNO	MAJOR	SELECT DISTINCT EM1.EMPNO			
E1	MAT	WHERE 2 -			
E1	CSI	(SELECT_COUNT(*)			
E2	MAT	FROM EMPMAJOR EM2			
E3	CSI	WHERE EM2.EMPNO = EM1.EMPNO			
E4	ENG	AND EM2.MAJOR IN ('MAT', 'CSI');			
		<b>Eaders in IT Education</b>			

The next solution would be to code what's called a 'Quota Query' where the number 2 is used in a correlated subquery.

	Example 2 – Solution 3					
PROBL	<b>PROBLEM:</b> Find all employees who major in math (MAT) and computer science (CSI).					
EMPM	EMPMAJOR Self Join Logic:					
EMPNO	MAJOR	SELECT EMPNO				
E1	MAT	FROM EMPMAJOR AS EMP1 JOIN				
E1	CSI	EMPMAJOR AS EMP 2				
E2	MAT	ON EMP1.EMPNO = EMP2.EMPNO				
E3	CSI	WHERE EMP1.MAJOR = 'MAT'				
E4	ENG	AND EMP2.MAJOR = 'CSI';				
		<b>Eaders in IT Education</b>				

And the last way to code logic for this would be using an SQL 'Self Join' where you join the EMP table to itself by EMPNO. And by joining this way we code where in one table there is a row with 'MAT' and self joining back but looking for a 'CSI' row.



This screen starts the third example. Each employee row in the EMP table contains the department that the employee works in and their birth date. This query is to find the youngest employee in each department.

Solution 1 would be coding a query with a correlated subquery.



This solution is using what is called a Row-Value expression in SQL where the 'IN' predicate contains 2 values for each entry in the in list.

The in-list would look like (deptno1 and max birthdate, deptno2 and max birthdate, ...)



Example 4 needs some aggregation (average bonus and average salary) calculations for its logic. This first solution is by coding correlated subqueries that are scalar fullselects to compare.

	Example 4 – Solu	tion 2
List all dep that its dep	artments where a department aver partment average salary.	rage bonus is greater
SELECT I FROM TH THI GROUP B HAVING A (S F W	D.DEPTNO, D.LOCATION, AVG(BON EMIS81.DEPT AS D INNER JOIN EMIS81.EMP AS E1 ON D.DEPTNO & D.DEPTNO, D.LOCATION <b>VG(E1.BONUS)</b> > ELECT AVG(SALARY) ROM THEMIS81.EMP E2 HERE E2.DEPTNO = D.DEPTNO)	US), AVG(SALARY) O = E1.DEPTNO
		Themis Leaders in IT Education

This solution uses the Group By and Having SQL logic to obtain the results. Notice that the Having clause can also be correlated. This solution allows to also have the aggregated amounts as part of the output.

# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>

Solution 3 uses a Common Table Expression (CTE) where the averages are calculated ahead of time and materialized into a temp table that can the be used in a direct join to the DEPT table. This solution allows to also have the aggregated amounts as part of the output.

These are great example of result sets that need both detail data from a table along with aggregated data in each line.

DB2 Explain							
QUERYNO	QBLOCKNO	PROGNAME	PLANNO	METHOD	CREATOR	TNAME	ACCESSTYPE
·+ 1	+	+	++ ۱	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-+ TUEMTCQ1	-+ NEDT	+ D
1	1	DSNESM68	1	U A		DLFI FMD	T
1	С	DSNESM68	1	U A		FMP	I T
2	1	NSNESM68	1	0 0	THEMISBI	NFPT	I
2	1	DSNESM68	2	2	THEMISBI	FMP	R
2	2	DSNESM68	1	с 1	THEMIS81	FMP	T
3	1	DSNESM68	1	0	THEMIS81	X	R
3	1	DSNESM68	2	1	THEMIS81	DEPT	T
3	2	DSNESM68	1	Ī	THEMIS81	EMP	Ř
3	2	DSNESM68	2	3			
Notice the different access paths							

This is an example of the DB2 Explain output for the access paths chosen for each of the previous 3 queries. Notice each one is very different than the others.

Notice the 'X' table. This is the CTE name given in solution 3. By seeing this table name in the DB2 Explain output tells us that the table will be materialized.

	Opti	mizer (	Costing	5
SELECT QUE FROM DSN_S ORDER BY Q WITH UR ; +	RY <mark>NO,COST_CATEGO</mark> TATEMNT_TABLE WERYNO	RY, PROCMS, PRO -++-	ICSU, REASON	
QUERYNO	COST_CATEGORY	PROCMS	PROCSU	REASON
1 2 3	A B B	11 2671439 5461	11 2606281 5328	HAVING CLAUSE TABLE CARDINALITY
				Them is the second seco

This is the guesstimated runtime costs for the previous 3 queries. Notice big differences in costing numbers.



This is very typical programming logic for any relational database where you need to know the rows in 1 table where the primary key id not in another table: 3 ways to do this (NOT IN, NOT EXISTS, ANTI JOIN).

This is an example of the 'NOT IN' logic.



Solution 2 shows the 'NOT EXISTS' correlated subquery way to code for the results.



Solution 3 is called the 'ANTI JOIN' where you code up an outer join, and then ask for the rows from the null supplying table where the joined column in null. This specifies that a particular join key was not found on the other table.

Example 5 – Solution 4
List employees that are not working on projects. This would be those EMP rows that do not have EMPNO values in the EMPPROJACT table.
SELECT E.EMPNO, E.LASTNAME FROM EMP E EXCEPT ALL SELECT E.EMPNO, E.LASTNAME INNER JOIN EMPPROJACT EPA ON EPA.EMPNO = E.EMPNO
Them is Leaders in IT Education

One more solution using one of the newer SQL SET operation EXCEPT/EXCEPT ALL. What in the first output is an exception to what is in the second output.

	Optimizer	Costing	
QUERYNO	COST_CATEGORY	-++ PROCMS	PROCSU I
1 2 3 4	A A A A A	-++ 5931 27330 17222 35040	5083 23419 14758 30026
			The memory in IT Education

And with different access paths comes different costing numbers.



Another very common programming task with tables that contain multiple rows with the same key value, but are different based on a timestamp column.

Programming logic typically wants us to get the most current row (Max Timestamp column). This screen 2 different ways to code for this.

Works well with multiple join fields, or max number of other fields, or getting the min instead too... If you have a possibility of multiple max dates or timestamps containing same values, you can use a second field to narrow it down in the AND clause.

For example: Table EMP2 contains duplicate rows with an UPD\_TSP column. SELECT EMPNO, UPD\_TSP FROM EMP2 T1 WHERE T1.EMPNO = '000010' AND T1.UPD\_TSP = (SELECT MAX(T2.UPD\_TSP) FROM EMP2 T2 WHERE T2.EMPNO = T1.EMPNO) ; SELECT T1.EMPNO, T1.UPD\_TSP FROM EMP2 T1 LEFT JOIN EMP2 T2 ON T1.UPD\_TSP < T2.UPD\_TSP AND T1.EMPNO = T2.EMPNO WHERE T1.EMPNO = '000010'

AND T2.UPD TSP IS NULL



Another very common programming task with tables that contain multiple rows with the same key value, but are different based on a timestamp column.

Programming logic typically wants us to get the most current row (Max Timestamp column). This screen 2 different ways to code for this.

Works well with multiple join fields, or max number of other fields, or getting the min instead too... If you have a possibility of multiple max dates or timestamps containing same values, you can use a second field to narrow it down in the AND clause.

For example: Table EMP2 contains duplicate rows with an UPD TSP column.

SELECT EMPNO, UPD\_TSP FROM EMP2 T1 WHERE T1.EMPNO = '000010' AND T1.UPD\_TSP = (SELECT MAX(T2.UPD\_TSP) FROM EMP2 T2 WHERE T2.EMPNO = T1.EMPNO)

```
SELECT T1.EMPNO, T1.UPD_TSP
FROM EMP2 T1 LEFT JOIN
EMP2 T2 ON T1.UPD_TSP < T2.UPD_TSP
AND T1.EMPNO = T2.EMPNO
WHERE T1.EMPNO = '000010'
AND T2.UPD_TSP IS NULL
;
```

SELEC	Solution	n 1: <mark>Scalar I</mark> PNO, E1.LAS	F <mark>ullselect</mark> FNAME, E1	in the SE	LECT	
SELEC	T E1.EM	PNO, E1.LAS	<b>FNAME, E1</b>	DEPTNO	F1 CAT ADV	
FROM WHER	FROM I WHERE EMP E1 E E1.DEP	T DEC(AVG(E EMP E2 E E2.DEPTNO TNO < 'D01'	2.SALARY = E1.DEPT	),7,2) 'NO) AS AV	G_SAL	
	EMPNO	LASTNAME	DEPTNO	SALARY	AVG SAL	
			400	29250.00	45312.50	
1	000120	U CUNNED		2020000		
1	000120	LUCCHEST	A00	46500.00	45312.50	
1 2 3	000120 000110 000011	LUCCHESI	A00 A00	46500.00 52750.00	45312.50 45312.50	
1 2 3 4	000120 000110 000011 000010	LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS	A00 A00 A00	46500.00 52750.00 52750.00	45312.50 45312.50 45312.50	
1 2 3 4 5	000120 000110 000011 000010 000020	LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON	A00 A00 A00 B01	46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00	45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00	
1 2 3 4 5 6	000120 000110 000011 000010 000020 000030	LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON KWAN	A00 A00 A00 B01 C01	46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 38250.00	45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 30156.66	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	000120 000110 000011 000010 000020 000030 000140	LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON KWAN NICHOLLS	A00 A00 A00 B01 C01 C01	46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 38250.00 28420.00	45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 30156.66 30156.66	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	000120 000110 000011 000010 000020 000030 000140 000130	LUCCHNELL HAAS HAAS THOMPSON KWAN NICHOLLS QUINTANA	A00 A00 B01 C01 C01 C01	46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 38250.00 28420.00 23800.00	45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 30156.66 30156.66 30156.66	

Another way is using the newer PARTITION BY clause:

Г

	Solutio	on 2: Table I	Expressio	n		
LFC	т ғ ғмр	NO FIASTN	IAME E DI	PTNO FS	ALARV	
	CAT				ALANI,	
1VG	_SAL					
OM	EMP E,					
(SEI	LECT DE	PTNO. DEC(A	VG(SALAF	RY).7.2) AS	AVG SAL	
H R	KONI ENIF					
W	HERE DE	<b>PTNO &lt; 'D01</b>	•			
W	HERE DE	CPTNO < 'D01	v V			
W GI	HERE DE ROUP BY	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS	X			
W GI HER	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT	СРТNО < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS ГNO < 'D01'	X			
W GI HER ND 1	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTN	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS FNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN	` <mark>X</mark>			
W GI HER ND 1	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTN	СРТNО < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS ГNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN	X O			
W GI HER ND 1	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTN(	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS FNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN	X 0	1		
W GI HER ND 1	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTN EMPNO	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS FNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN LASTNAME	X O DEPTNO	SALARY	AVG_SAL	
W GI HER ND I	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTNO EMPNO 000120	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS FNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN LASTNAME O'CONNELL	X O DEPTNO A00	SALARY 29250.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50	
W GI HER ND I	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTNO 000120 000110	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS FNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI	X O DEPTNO A00 A00	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50	
W GI HER ND ]	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTNO 000120 000110 000011	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS FNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS	X O DEPTNO A00 A00 A00 A00	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50	
W GI HER ND ]	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTN EMPNO 000120 000110 000011 000010	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS TNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN	X           O           DEPTNO           A00           A00           A00           A00           A00	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50	
W GI HER ND 1	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTN EMPNO 000120 000110 000011 000010 000020	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS TNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN	DEPTNO           A00           A00           A00           B01	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00	
W GI HER ND 1 1 2 3 4 5 6	HERE DE ROUP BY E E.DEPT E.DEPTNO 000120 000110 000011 000010 000010 000020 000030	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS TNO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON KWAN	DEPTNO           A00           A00           A00           B01           C01	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 38250.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 30156.66	
W GI HER ND 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HERE DF ROUP BY E.DEPTN E.DEPTN 000120 000110 000011 000011 000020 000020 000030 000140	CPTNO < 'D01 DEPTNO) AS INO < 'D01' O = X.DEPTN LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON KWAN NICHOLLS	DEPTNO A00 A00 A00 B01 C01 C01 C01	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 38250.00 28420.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 30156.66 30156.66	

Another way is using the newer PARTITION BY clause:

LEO DEO XOM HEF	LECT LASTNAME, DEPTNO, SALARY, DEC(AVG(SALARY) OVER (PARTITION BY DEPTNO), 7, 2) AS AVGSAL OM EMP HERE DEPTNO < 'D01'						
	EMPNO	LASTNAME	DEPTNO	SALARY	AVG_SAL		
1	EMPNO 000120	LASTNAME O'CONNELL	DEPTNO A00	SALARY 29250.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50		
1 2	EMPNO 000120 000110	LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI	DEPTNO A00 A00	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50		
1 2 3	EMPNO 000120 000110 000011	LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS	DEPTNO A00 A00 A00	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50		
1 2 3 4	EMPNO 000120 000110 000011 000010	LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS	DEPTNO A00 A00 A00 A00 A00	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50		
1 2 3 4 5	EMPNO 000120 000110 000011 000010 000020	LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON	DEPTNO A00 A00 A00 B01 C01	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 28250.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 20155.65		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	EMPNO 000120 000110 000011 000010 000020 000030 000030	LASTNAME O'CONNELL LUCCHESI HAAS HAAS THOMPSON KWAN	DEPTNO A00 A00 A00 B01 C01	SALARY 29250.00 46500.00 52750.00 52750.00 41250.00 38250.00 28420.00	AVG_SAL 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 45312.50 41250.00 30156.66 20155.66		

Another way is using the newer PARTITION BY clause:

	Sometimes can Different query	be done with than previous	a join. s ones.	
CT MD E UP	D.DEPTNO, D.DEP EPT D LEFT OUTE MPE ON D.DEPT BY D.DEPTNO, D.D	TNAME, AVG(; CR JOIN NO = E.DEPTN EPTNAME	SALARY) AS AV O	'G_SAL
_	DEPTNAME	LOCATION	MAXSAL	_
1	SPIFFY COMPUTER SERVICE DIV.		52750.00	
2	PLANNING		41250.00	
	INFORMATION CENTER		38250.00	
3	DEVELOPMENT CENTER		NULL	
3	DEVELOPINIEI VI CENTEN		32250.00	
3 4 5	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS		52250.00	
3 4 5 6	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS		36170.00	
3 4 5 6 7	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES		36170.00 40175.00	
3 4 5 6 7 8	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES OPERATIONS		36170.00 40175.00 29750.00	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES OPERATIONS SOFTWARE SUPPORT		36170.00 40175.00 29750.00 26150.00	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES OPERATIONS SOFTWARE SUPPORT BRANCH OFFICE F2		36170.00 40175.00 29750.00 26150.00 NULL	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES OPERATIONS SOFTWARE SUPPORT BRANCH OFFICE F2 BRANCH OFFICE G2		36170.00 40175.00 29750.00 26150.00 NULL NULL	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES OPERATIONS SOFTWARE SUPPORT BRANCH OFFICE F2 BRANCH OFFICE G2 BRANCH OFFICE H2		36170.00 40175.00 29750.00 26150.00 NULL NULL NULL	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT SERVICES OPERATIONS SOFTWARE SUPPORT BRANCH OFFICE F2 BRANCH OFFICE G2 BRANCH OFFICE H2 BRANCH OFFICE 12		36170.00 40175.00 29750.00 26150.00 NULL NULL NULL NULL	

Another way is using the newer PARTITION BY clause:

Ex 8	: Left Join – ON Cla SELECT D.DEPTNO, D.DE D.MGRNO, E.LAS FROM DEPT D LEFT OUTER JO ON D.MGRNO = E.E AND D.DEPTNO LIK	USE E PTNAME, STNAME DIN EMP E EMPNO (E 'D%'	xtensions	
DEPTNO	DEPTNAME	MGRNO	LASTNAME	
A00	SPIFFY COMPUTER SERVICE DIV.	000010	<null></null>	
B01	PLANNING	000020	<null></null>	
C01	INFORMATION CENTER	000030	<null></null>	
E01	SUPPORT SERVICES	000050	<null></null>	
D01	DEVELOPMENT CENTER	<null></null>	<null></null>	
D11	MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS	000060	STERN	
D21	ADMINISTRATION SYSTEMS	000070	PULASKI	
E11	OPERATIONS	000090	<null></null>	
E21	SOFTWARE SUPPORT	000100	<null></null>	
F22	BRANCH OFFICE F2	<null></null>	<null></null>	
G22	BRANCH OFFICE G2	<null></null>	<null></null>	
H22	BRANCH OFFICE H2	<null></null>	<null></null>	
122	BRANCH OFFICE 12	<null></null>	<null></null>	
J22	BRANCH OFFICE J2	<null></null>	<null></null>	
			Ihem Leaders in IT Educ	lis

By adding the predicate D.DEPTNO LIKE 'D%' to the ON clause only filters what is to be joined. It does not filter data from the final result set.

Note: Only predicates in a WHERE clause will filter rows from a result set. Predicates in an ON clause determines which rows should return data from the other table to be joined (non driver table

Sometimes in logic we want to ONLY go to another table to retrieve joined values based on certain condition(s). This is a good way to go about it.

٦



Many will use the CASE logic to decide whether to show some columns from the other table or not. In this example a join will take place for every department over to the employee table, and at the last minute decide whether to show the lastname or not.



V11 sparse index processing is similar to hash joining on other platforms (Db2 LUW, SQL Server, Oracle). This is usually a good thing that the optimizer chooses. The index is built with hashed values in memory (called In-Memory-Data-Cache). Could overflow to a work file if the entries in the sparse index are too many that overflows the MXDTCACH setting.

The Sparse index gets built at runtime, with the hash matching join being faster than index lookups on the inner table of the nested loop join. Especially if the join has enough rows from the outer to inner to "pay back" the build / cost of the sparse index/hash.

This helps especially with table expressions that get 'materialized'. Always look in the explain to see if an index was built.

<b>Predicate Rewrites</b>	
Predicate Types:	
- Equal, Range, IN-List, Subquery, Not	
General Predicate Rules:	
- Predicates AND'd together are typically more efficient than predicates	
with OR logic	
- Use constants whenever possible	
- Write predicates to be indexable	
- No functions/mathematics on columns in predicates	
- Avoid NOT logic whenever possible Different modiants time generate different filter factors	
- Different predicate type generate different inter factors	
- 2/05 avoid stage 2 predicates	
NOTE: Some predicates are automatically rewritten by the optimizer.	
Check the Db2 explain output!	
Leaders in IT Educa	lion

Even writing a predicate a different way may change the filter factor enough for the optimizer to change it optimization access path choice. Or rewriting a predicate to be indexable if not already!

#### **Predicate Rewrites**

For example: Predicates AND'd vs OR'd SELECT EMPNO, LASTNAME, EDLEVEL, GENDER FROM EMP WHERE DEPTNO = 'A00' AND (GENDER = 'F' OR EDLEVEL > 16 ) Or SELECT EMPNO, LASTNAME, EDLEVEL, GENDER FROM EMP WHERE (DEPTNO = 'A00' AND GENDER = 'F') OR (DEPTNO = 'A00' AND EDLEVEL > 16 )

Most likely these two queries will take different optimization paths. Which one is best? Well of course 'It Depends'.

#### **Predicate Rewrites**



Even writing a predicate a different way may change the filter factor enough for the optimizer to change it optimization access path choice. Different predicate types have different algorithms for generating filter factors. Sometimes what may seem like a simple change may change the optimization.

Thank you for allowing me to share some of my experience and knowledge today!

"I have seen that when the developers get educated, good SQL programming standards are in place, and program walkthroughs are executed correctly, incident reporting stays low, CPU costs do not get out of control, and most performance issues are found before promoting code to production." Tony Andrews



#### The material in this presentation is further developed in the following Themis courses:

- DB1032 DB2 for z/OS Performance and Tuning
- DB1041 DB2 z/OS Advanced SQL
- DB1037 Advanced Query Tuning using IBM Data Studio
- SQ1010 Cross Platform Advanced SQL
   DB1006 DB2 LUW Advanced Query Tuning using IBM Data Studio

Links to these courses may be found at: www.themisinc.com

Tony's Email:tandrews@themisinc.comTwitter:@tonyandrews12



Thank you for allowing me to share some of my experience and knowledge today!

#### Tony Andrews <u>tandrews@themisinc.com</u>

- I hope that you learned something new today
- I hope that you are a little more inspired when it comes to SQL coding and performance tuning

